1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 4 5 HENSLEY, 6 Plaintiff(s), NO. C03-716 7 v. ORDER ON MOTION TO REVISE 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., CASE SCHEDULE 9 Defendant(s). 10 11 The Court, having received and reviewed the Stipulation and Order Continuing Trial Date, 12 makes the following findings: 13 The motion contains no specific (or insufficient) facts to establish good cause 1. 14 for a continuance and/or The motion provides no suitable explanation as to why the existing case 2. 15 schedule deadlines were not met 16 The parties seek a continuance of their trial date based on the unavailability of certain 17 witnesses alleged to be significant to the development of the facts of this case. The motion is silent, 18 however, on the efforts that have been made to locate the missing witnesses and any estimate of 19 whether and/or when these witnesses might be found. The Court also notes that, according to the 20 case schedule in this matter (Dkt. No. 41), the discovery cutoff occurred on December 19, 2005 and a 21 continuance request based on the need for further discovery is inappropriate at this juncture in the 22 case. 23 24

ORD ON MTN TO CONT - 1

25

26

1	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the request to revise the existing case schedule is
2	DENIED without prejudice to bring a renewed motion which cures the defects noted above and
3	outlines a plan for meeting the revised deadlines.
4	
5	The clerk is directed to send copies of this order to all counsel of record.
6	Dated: January 13, 2006
7	Marshy Melins
8	Marsha J. Pechman
9	U.S. District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	ORD ON MTN TO CONT - 2